

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.               | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.    |  |
|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|--|
| 09/834,802                    | 04/12/2001  | Venugopal P. Reddy   | 020431.0832             | 8684                |  |
| 7590 01/12/2005               |             |                      | EXAM                    | EXAMINER            |  |
| Christopher W. Kennerly, Esq. |             |                      | CHANG, SUNRAY           |                     |  |
| Baker Botts L.L               |             |                      | ADTIBUT                 | D . DCD \ H !! (DCD |  |
| 2001 Ross Avenue, 6th Floor   |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER        |  |
| Dallas, TX 75201-2980         |             |                      | 2121                    |                     |  |
|                               |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005 |                     |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 November 2004.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3] Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4] Claim(s) 1-5.8-19 and 22-28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are epiding in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are epiding in the application.  5] Claim(s) 1-5.8-19 and 22-28 is/are rejected.  7] Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8] Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9] The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10] The drawing(s) filed on 12 April 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Assome * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(e)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Discobeure Statement(s) (PTO-144) or PTO/SB/08)   |   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Surray Chang The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  If the pealod for reply specified above is less than 8 first (30) (3c)s, a reply when the saturative making a reply be timely filed  sele 3 kin, (MONTH) from replained to the state of the communication of the pealod for reply specified above is less than 8 first (30) (3c)s, a reply when the saturative making under the selection of the pealod for reply specified above is less than 8 first (30) (3c)s, a reply when the saturative making under the selection of the some department of the selection of the sele         |   | 09/834,802  | REDDY ET AL.                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address—Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Elementor of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CPR 1.138(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled in the period for may be specified above in less than hifty (30) days, and specified above in less than hifty (30) days, and specified above to reply specified above in less than hifty (30) days, and specified above the specified above the specified and will be period for the period for the communication of the specified and the specified  | Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply  A SHONTENEO STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - if the period for engly is apposited above, the material exhaults of the statutory minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered timely.  - if NO period for engly is apposited above, the material exhaults of period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - if NO period for engly is apposited above, the material exhaults of period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - if NO period for engly is apposited above, the material exhaults apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely flad, may reckes after a period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely flad, may reckes after a period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely flad, may reckes after a period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely flad, may reckes after a period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - in the period of the second s |   | , ,   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extrainosin of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.36(a). In no event, however, may a septy be timely filled after SX (5) MCNTRS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for exply is specified before the communication of the  |   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 November 2004.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3] Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4] Claim(s) 1-5.8-19 and 22-28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are ellowed.  6] Claim(s) 1-5.8-19 and 22-28 is/are rejected.  7] Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8] Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9] The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10] The drawing(s) filed on 12 April 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statent Drawing Review (PTO-149 or PTO/SB/08)   | <ul> <li>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any</li> </ul> |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-5.8-19 and 22-28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-5.8-19 and 22-28 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 April 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-852)  3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | Status  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-5.8-19 and 22-28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-5.8-19 and 22-28 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s)   | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 No.   | ovember 2004.   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)   |   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Al) Claim(s) 1-5.8-19 and 22-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 April 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some *c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-1449 or PTO/5B/08)  5) Notice of Informal Paper Nots/Mail Date  | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar   | ce except for formal matters, pro-                                  | secution as to the merits is |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)  Claim(s) 1-5.8-19 and 22-28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-5.8-19 and 22-28 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 12 April 2001 is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Discosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/58/08)  5) Notice of Information (PTO-152)   | closed in accordance with the practice under E  | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45                                    | 3 O.G. 213.                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  □ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) □ Notice of Tormal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | Disposition of Claims   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  □ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) □ Notice of Tormal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-5,8-19 and 22-28 is/are pending in the application.  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,8-19 and 22-28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 April 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 April 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S8/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 April 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S8/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,8-19 and 22-28</u> is/are rejected.   | 6) Claim(s) 1-5,8-19 and 22-28 is/are rejected.                     |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 April 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.                                     |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 April 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-992)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 12 April 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | Application Papers  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some colonge of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 April 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  | 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | <ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | Attachment(s)   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2121

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in responsive to the paper filed on November 23, 2004.

2. Claims 1-5, 8-19, and 22-28 are presented for examination.

Claims 1-5, 8-19, and 22-28 are rejected.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 3. Claims 1 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Graham W. Glass (U.S. Patent No. 6,629,128, and referred to as Glass hereinafter).
- 4. Regarding independent claim 1,

Glass teaches,

Page 3

Art Unit: 2121

- At least one server component [12, Fig. 1] supporting one or more server objects [18, Fig. 1] having associated data [19, Fig. 1].
- Server component [18, Fig. 1] is within a first container [12, Fig. 1].
- Client component [20, Fig. 1] is within a second container [14, Fig. 1] remote from the first container [Fig. 1].
- At least one client component [14, Fig. 1] distributed from the server component [12, Fig. 1] operable to access data associated with one or more of the server objects [Col. 5, Line 36 37].
- A scheme makes the server objects transparent to both remote and local client component [Col. 6, Line 31 34].
- Remote communication data accessing is optimized by client component [26, 30 and 32,
   Fig. 2, and Col. 6, Lines 51 67].
- Local communication data accessing is optimized by proxy component [26 and 30, Fig.
   2, and Col. 6, Lines 51 67].
- In second container [14, Fig. 1], a proxy component [22, Fig. 1] supports proxy objects to provide a local version of a server object [Col. 6, Line 19 – 20].
- Proxy component provide the client component accessing proxy object data [Col.6, Line
   21 23] when client requests data from server object [Col.6, Line 14].

### 5. Regarding dependent claim 2,

■ The scheme allows both local and remote client components to use the same operations to access server object data [Col. 6, Line 27 – 34].

Art Unit: 2121

### 6. Regarding dependent claim 3,

Both local and remote client components are operable to access server object data [Col. 6,
 Line 27 – 34].

### 7. Regarding dependent claim 4,

- Client component is coded as if it will always be remote from associated server components [Col. 6, Line 30 – 32].
- All communications between client component and a server component will be remote
   [Col. 6, Line 30 32].

## 8. Regarding dependent claim 5,

- All client components of the server component have been developed using templatized code [Col. 6, Line 59 61 and 64 67].
- Local and remote client-server interface transparency is preserved across all such client components [Col. 6, Line 30 34].
- Repetitive code generation has been minimized in developing such client components
   [Col. 6, Line 54 59].

### 9. **Dependent claim 6 cancelled.**

### 10. Dependent claim 7 cancelled.

Page 4

### 11. Regarding dependent claim 8,

Proxy component performs management tasks to the proxy component [Col. 6, Line 19 –
 20].

### 12. Regarding dependent claim 9,

Proxy component customized by a developer of the server component [Col. 6, Line 17 –
 19].

### 13. Regarding dependent claim 10,

Proxy component and server component cooperate to reconcile proxy object data with server object data consistently with local and remote client-server interface transparency
 [Col. 6, Line 19 – 20 and 33 – 34].

### 14. Regarding independent claim 11,

- At least one server component [12, Fig. 1] supporting one or more server objects [18, Fig. 1] having associated data [19, Fig. 1].
- Server component [18, Fig. 1] is within a first container [12, Fig. 1].
- Client component [20, Fig. 1] is within a second container [14, Fig. 1] remote from the first container [Fig. 1].

Art Unit: 2121

At least one client component [14, Fig. 1] distributed from the server component [12, Fig. 1] operable to access data associated with one or more of the server objects [Col. 5, Line 36 – 37].

Page 6

- The scheme allows both local and remote client components to use the same operations to access server object data [Col. 6, Line 27 34].
- Remote communication data accessing is optimized by client component [26, 30 and 32,
   Fig. 2, and Col. 6, Lines 51 67].
- Local communication data accessing is optimized by proxy component [26 and 30, Fig.
   2, and Col. 6, Lines 51 67].
- In second container [14, Fig. 1], a proxy component [22, Fig. 1] supports proxy objects to provide a local version of a server object [Col. 6, Line 19 20].
- Proxy component provide the client component accessing proxy object data [Col.6, Line
   21 23] when client requests data from server object [Col.6, Line 14].

### 15. Regarding independent claim 12,

- At least one server component [12, Fig. 1] in first container [12, Fig. 1] supporting one or more server objects [18, Fig. 1] having associated data [19, Fig. 1].
- At least one client component [20, Fig. 1], in a second container [14, Fig. 1] remote from the first container [12, Fig. 1], distributed from the server component [12, Fig. 1].
- The client component can access server objects data [Col. 6, Line 14] without been predetermined local or remote [Col. 6, Line 27 34].

Art Unit: 2121

A scheme makes the server objects transparent to both remote and local client component
 [Col. 6, Line 31 – 34].

- The scheme allows both local and remote client components to use the same operations to access server object data [Col. 6, Line 27 34].
- Remote communication data accessing is optimized by client component [26, 30 and 32,
   Fig. 2, and Col. 6, Lines 51 67].
- Local communication data accessing is optimized by proxy component [26 and 30, Fig.
   2, and Col. 6, Lines 51 67].

### 16. Regarding independent claim 13,

- A client component [14, Fig. 1] distributed from the server component [12, Fig. 1] with server object data [19, Fig.1].
- The client component can access server objects data [Col. 6, Line 14].
- A scheme makes the server objects transparent to both remote and local client component [Col. 6, Line 27 34].
- Remote communication data accessing is optimized by client component [26, 30 and 32,
   Fig. 2, and Col. 6, Lines 51 67].
- Local communication data accessing is optimized by proxy component [26 and 30, Fig.
   2, and Col. 6, Lines 51 67].

# 17. Regarding independent claim 14,

Art Unit: 2121

• There is a proxy component [22, Fig. 1] and a client component [20, Fig. 1] in the first container [14, Fig. 1] remote to second container [12, Fig. 1].

Page 8

- Second container [12, Fig. 1] containing a server component [18, Fig. 1] supports server objects data [19, Fig. 1].
- The client component is distributed from the server component [Fig. 1].
- A proxy component [22, Fig. 1] supports proxy objects to provide a local version of a server object [Col. 6, Line 19 – 20].
- Proxy component provide the client component accessing proxy object data [Col.6, Line
   21 23] when client requests data from server object [Col.6, Line 14].
- Server objects transparent to both remote and local client component [Col. 6, Line 31 –
   34].
- Remote communication data accessing is optimized by client component [26, 30 and 32,
   Fig. 2, and Col. 6, Lines 51 67].
- Local communication data accessing is optimized by proxy component [26 and 30, Fig.
   2, and Col. 6, Lines 51 67].

### 18. Regarding independent claim 15,

- Local client component directly access the requested server object data when requesting for server object data of a server component [26, 30, Fig. 2 and Col.6, Line 14].
- Remote client component using a proxy component providing local access to proxy
   object data instead of requested server object data [26, 30, 32 Fig. 2 and Col.6, Line 14].

Art Unit: 2121

The proxy component has proxy objects, local copies of server objects [Col. 6, Line 19 –
 20].

Page 9

- The server objects transparent to both remote and local client component [Col. 6, Line 27 34].
- Remote communication data accessing is optimized by client component [26, 30 and 32,
   Fig. 2, and Col. 6, Lines 51 67].
- Local communication data accessing is optimized by proxy component [26 and 30, Fig.
   2, and Col. 6, Lines 51 67].

# 19. Regarding dependent claim 16,

The scheme allows both local and remote client components to use the same operations to access server object data [Col. 6, Line 27 – 34].

### 20. Regarding dependent claim 17,

Both local and remote client components are operable to access server object data [Col. 6,
 Line 27 – 34].

### 21. Regarding dependent claim 18,

- Client component is coded as if it will always be remote from associated server components [Col. 6, Line 30 – 32].
- All communications between client component and a server component will be remote
   [Col. 6, Line 30 32].

Art Unit: 2121

# 22. Regarding dependent claim 19,

- All client components of the server component have been developed using templatized code [Col. 6, Line 59 61 and 64 67].
- Local and remote client-server interface transparency is preserved across all such client components [Col. 6, Line 30 34].
- Repetitive code generation has been minimized in developing such client components
   [Col. 6, Line 54 59].
- 9. Dependent claim 20 cancelled.
- 10. Dependent claim 21 cancelled.
- 25. Regarding dependent claim 22,
  - Proxy component performs management tasks to the proxy component [Col. 6, Line 19 –
     20].
- 26. Regarding dependent claim 23,
  - Proxy component customized by a developer of the server component [Col. 6, Line 17 –
     19].
- 27. Regarding dependent claim 24,

Art Unit: 2121

 Proxy component and server component cooperate to reconcile proxy object data with server object data consistently with local and remote client-server interface transparency
 [Col. 6, Line 19 – 20 and 33 – 34].

### 28. Regarding independent claim 25,

- Local client component directly access the requested server object data when requesting for server object data of a server component [26, 30, Fig. 2 and Col.6, Line 14].
- Remote client component using a proxy component providing local access to proxy
   object data instead of requested server object data [26, 30, 32 Fig. 2 and Col.6, Line 14].
- The proxy component has proxy objects, local copies of server objects [Col. 6, Line 19 –
   20].
- The scheme allows both local and remote client components to use the same operations to access server object data [Col. 6, Line 27 34].
- Remote communication data accessing is optimized by client component [26, 30 and 32,
   Fig. 2, and Col. 6, Lines 51 67].
- Local communication data accessing is optimized by proxy component [26 and 30, Fig.
   2, and Col. 6, Lines 51 67].

# 29. Regarding independent claim 26,

• Local client component directly access the requested server object data when requesting for server object data of a server component [26, 30, Fig. 2 and Col.6, Line 14].

Art Unit: 2121

• Remote client component using a proxy component providing local access to proxy object data instead of requested server object data [26, 30, 32 Fig. 2 and Col.6, Line 14].

- Server component [18, Fig. 1] is within a first container [12, Fig. 1].
- Client component [20, Fig. 1] is within a second container [14, Fig. 1] remote from the first container [Fig. 1].
- The proxy component has proxy objects, local copies of server objects [Col. 6, Line 19 –
   20].
- The server objects transparent to both remote and local client component [Col. 6, Line 27 34].
- Both local and remote client components use the same operations to access server object data [Col. 6, Line 27 = 34].
- Remote communication data accessing is optimized by client component [26, 30 and 32,
   Fig. 2, and Col. 6, Lines 51 67].
- Local communication data accessing is optimized by proxy component [26 and 30, Fig.
   2, and Col. 6, Lines 51 67].

#### 30. Regarding independent claim 27,

- A scheme makes the server object substantially transparent to the remote or local client component, while client component accessing remote server object data [Col. 6, Line 27 32].
- Remote communication data accessing is optimized by client component [26, 30 and 32,
   Fig. 2, and Col. 6, Lines 51 67].

Art Unit: 2121

Local communication data accessing is optimized by proxy component [26 and 30, Fig.
 2, and Col. 6, Lines 51 – 67].

Page 13

### 31. Regarding independent claim 28,

- There is a proxy component [22, Fig. 1] and a client component [20, Fig. 1] in the first container [14, Fig. 1] remote to second container [12, Fig. 1].
- Second container [12, Fig. 1] containing a server component [18, Fig. 1] supports server objects data [19, Fig. 1].
- a proxy component [22, Fig. 1] supports proxy objects to provide a local version of a
   server object [Col. 6, Line 19 20].
- Proxy component provide the client component accessing proxy object data [Col.6, Line
   21 23] when client requests data from server object [Col.6, Line 14].
- The server objects transparent to both remote and local client component [Col. 6, Line 27 34].
- Remote communication data accessing is optimized by client component [26, 30 and 32,
   Fig. 2, and Col. 6, Lines 51 67].
- Local communication data accessing is optimized by proxy component [26 and 30, Fig.
   2, and Col. 6, Lines 51 67].

#### Response to Amendment

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

32. Examiner has withdrawn the 112, Second Paragraph Rejections.

Art Unit: 2121

33. The amendment overcomes the rejection of 112 Second Paragraph for claims 1, 12-15, 20 and 26-28. Examiner has withdrawn the rejections.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

34. Applicant argues that Glass does not disclose "proxy component operable to execute data access operations optimized for remote communications" and "client component operable to execute data access operations optimized for local communications" in claim 1. Yet, Glass does teach those subject matters as described in item 4 of this office action. The rejection retained.

Further, examiner explains, the invention of Glass includes "This invention relates to an improved system and method for distributed processing in a computer network" [Col. 1, Lines 6 – 8], and "In order to optimize system performance" [Col. 6, Lines 64 – 65], combined with Fig. 2, making a selection of generating remote proxy class or not, based on the location is "local or remote" [Col. 7, Line 39]. Based on Glass's teaching, Glass does disclose "proxy component operable to execute data access operations optimized for remote communications" and "client component operable to execute data access operations optimized for local communications".

### Conclusion

35. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

17/25

Art Unit: 2121

date of this final action.

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

36. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sunray Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3682. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on (571) 272-3687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-746-3506.

Sunray Chang
Patent Examiner
Group Art Unit 2121
Technology Center 2100
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Anthony Knight
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600

January 6, 2005